



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/770653	1/29/2001	M. PAMELA GRIFFIN	10406/117

EXAMINER
----------

FRANCES P. OROPERA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3762

21

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) M. PAMELA GRIFFIN, MD. (3) ROBERT J. DECKER  
(2) RANDALL MOORMAN, M.D. (4) KIETH DAVIS  
Date of Interview 2/24/04 (5) FRANCES P. OROPERA

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: DISCUSSION & POWERPOINT PRESENTATION  
OF POWER SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF NEONATAL CATASTROPHIC ILLNESS VS APPROACH CLAIMED BY  
INSTANT INVENTION USING ANALYTICAL APPROACH OF  
FREQUENCY HISTOGRAM

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 39-49, 52-57, 59-62, 68, 69, 71-73, 75 & 76

Identification of prior art discussed: GORDON ET AL (US 4862361)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: GORDON ET AL. APPEARS  
RELATED TO MONITORING TIME SERIES OF EE INTERVALS.  
READ ON INSTANT INVENTION AS CLAIMED, APPLICANT WILL AMEND  
CLAIMS, POTENTIALLY TO INCLUDE THE "ANALYSIS BASED ON A FREQUENCY  
HISTOGRAM" APPROACH. AN AFFIDAVIT WILL ALSO POTENTIALLY BE INCLUDED TO  
SUPPORT THE NOVELTY OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION. EXAMINER PREPARED REVIEW

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.